SEDA Land Conversations 2021: Matrix of Land Use type vs Outputs and Consequences (e.g. products / economy / wellbeing)

Status: Draft / Provisional - under discussion

Template: to be adapted for each of the 6 Land Conversations

Notes below give explanation of the purpose of the matrix and its notation (S|M|L| and *,**,***)

			Products from the land						Economy and employment						Losses / pollution (local)				Wildlife / shared space				Human wellbeing / perception					p / influe	Politica	Political/administrative		
LAND USE			food - not meat	food - meat	fibre	alcohol		resource (multiple use)			rural econom y / jobs	local short supply chains	to air	to water	soil / land erosion	GHG emiss- ions	habitat	invas- ions	micro- fauna flora	mamm als / birds	food health	drinkir water	r clean air	outdoor access	state of mind	private	e state	trust / charity		Topics	(columns) to be cho	
		Area [1]	[2]																											i —		
	wind	s s	[2]					**	**	*	*	*		*	*		*			*					**							
energy [3]	hydro	s						**	*	*	*	*																				
	solar	s						*			*	*																				
	surface	м		*				***	**	*	*	*					***		*	**	**	***		**	***		Matrix sq			Cr	olumns to be named	
water	below surface	L	*			*		**	*	*	*	*									*	***					populated during the 6 Land Conversations				and the matrix	
	industry	s	*	**		**	*	***	***	***	*		***	***		***							**					nversatio on facts	ns		opulated based on pinions and debate	
	transport	S	*	*		*	*	**	**	**			***	**		***	*						***				emerging		rs		during the 6 Land	
urban / industry	domestic	м						*	**	**			*	**	**	**	*	*		**			*		**			essed.			Conversations	
	open space / park	s								*											**	***	**									
	remaining	s									*					*	***		**	***				**	***					1		
wild land	under rewilding	s		*			*				*	*				*	*		**	***				**	***					1		
	peatland	м		*	*											**	**		*	**				**	*					1		
rough grazing [4]	livestock	L		**	*						*	*		**	*	***	**		**	***	**			***	***							
rough grazing [4]	game	L		*							*	*			*	**	**		**	**				**	*							
grassland	permanent	L		***	*					*	**	*	*	**	*	***	**	**	***	**	**			*								
grassianu	rotational	М		***	*	**				*	**	*	*	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**				*							
arable land	cereal	м	*	**		***		**	**	*	**	*	*	***	***	***	*	**	*	*	*				*							
	other	S	**							*	**	*	*	***	***	***	*	**	*	*	**											
integrated local [5]	see note below	S	*	*	*	*						**					**	*	**	**	**				**							
	industrial	М					**	**	*	*	**			**	**	**	*	***	*	*			*	*	*							
forest/woodland [6]	native/semi-natural	S					*	*	*	*	**	*					**		***	***			*	**	***							
	amenity	S										*					**		**	**				**	***					i 🖵		
EXTERNAL INFLUENCE [7, 8]			L	м	L	L	L	L	L	L	м		м	S		L		м			L		м									

GENERAL COMMENTS

A. The matrix is intended to help form a statement of where things are now. The matrix could be redrawn to show how things ought to be at a future time, thereby indicating where change is most needed.

B. Ownership | influence and Political | administrative (far right columns) are introduced at this stage and will be completed based on facts and arguments. The type and degree of influence will be crucial in bringing about change.

C. Categories in rows 6 and 7 are indicative: they could also be interpreted through systems such as the Four Pillars of Sustainability, the four types of Ecosystem Services or the UN's Sustainable Development Goals.

NOTES:

[1] Areas of most Land Use types are known from government census. The descriptors L, M, S are intended as a guide only.

[2] In the body of the matrix (coloured), cells are given an indicative level of importance - large (***), medium (**), small (*), blank indicates no/little influence. Direction of effect (e.g. positive / negative) is not indicated.

[3] Topics under Energy are those occupying mainly rural land: nuclear power stations etc. come under Industry

[4] Categories Rough grazing and Wild land overlap to a degree - for example, peat deposits also occur in some land classed as Rough grazing.

[5] The Land Use category named 'Integrated / local' is meant to capture any of the other forms of land use that aim for production systems that are resource-conserving, low waste, high-diversity and integrated across output types, e.g. growers and food co-ops.

[6] Forest/woodland categories - suggestions from Willie McGee, Forest Policy Group, appreciated: native/semi-natural includes long established hardwood or mixed plantings; amenity includes broadleaf or mixed plantings across a range of land ownership.

[7] External Influence: is marked L, M, S, depending on the degree to which 'Land Use' or 'Outputs & Consequences' are affected by or depend on things outside the country; e.g. very little fibre used in the country is home-grown; most cereal carbohydrate is grown elsewhere and imported.

[8] External influence: cells are left blank if the respective 'Outputs | Consequences' depend mostly on what happens inside the country. (In reality, very little is independent of global events.)

This version: 1 March 2021, G R Squire